## Invited Speakers \& Session Chairs

- Invited Speakers
- Listed in program \& on CV
- High visibility, helps professional advancement
- Important professional recognition
- Session Chairs
- Don't need to be as senior
- Also provides visibility
- Listed in program \& on CV


## Invited Speakers - Virology Conference

RF Kalejta and A.C. Palmenberg, "Gender Parity Trends for Invited Speakers at Four Prominent Virology Conference Series," Journal of Virology, August 2017


1. Bringing more first-time speakers is correlated with more women speakers

## 2. Capturing Data \& Paying Attention is Correlated with Increased \# of Women Speakers



## 3. Having Women Organizers is Correlated with Increased \# of Women Speakers



RF Kalejta and A.C. Palmenberg, "Gender Parity Trends for Invited Speakers at Four Prominent Virology Conference Series," Journal of Virology, August 2017

## Session Chairs - Virology Conferences



RF Kalejta and A.C. Palmenberg, "Gender Parity Trends for Invited Speakers at Four Prominent Virology Conference Series," Journal of Virology, August 2017

## Recognition during Speaker Introductions

- Candidates interview for engineering faculty positions
- 1-hour research seminar open to faculty, students Job Talk
- Are men and women introduced the same way?


## My project did not start out that way...

- Questions and Interruptions during Job Talks
- 140 videos:
- 91 men, 49 women
- 2 universities, 6 engineering departments


Blair-Loy, Rogers, Glaser, Wong, Abraham, Cosman, "Gender in Engineering Departments: Are there Gender Differences in Interruptions of Academic Job Talks?," Social Science, 2017
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## Introductions: Research Awards

|  | Women | Men |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total \# candidates | 91 | 188 |
| CV list research <br> awards | 65 <br> $(71 \%)$ | 147 <br> $(78 \%)$ |
| Video shows intro | 55 | 120 |
| Video shows intro <br> \& CV lists awards | 37 | 97 |
| Intro mentions <br> research award | 12 out of 37 <br> $(32 \%)$ | 53 out of 97 <br> $(55 \%)$ |

From where does the Introducer choose words?

Candidate CV
Education
Awards
Papers

Introducer chooses
words from CV

## FLYER

## Talk Title

## Name

Abstract:
Biography:
Degrees, awards...

Spoken
Introduction


Introducer chooses
words from
flyer bio
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## Flyers (1 department)

|  | Women | Men |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Have research <br> award on CV | 8 | 29 |
| Also appears <br> on flyer | 7 | $(88 \%)$ | $23 \quad(80 \%)$

## Mentioned in intro <br> 2 out of 7 <br> 19 out of 23 <br> (28\%) <br> (82\%)



Introductions: Non-essential information

|  | Women | Men |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Cases with <br> Intro | 55 | 120 |
| Non-essential <br> Information | 17 | $(31 \%)$ |
| Inappropriate <br> Information | 6 | $(35 \%)$ |

## My Own Story of an Introduction...

- Stanford Biostatistics Colloquium, mir For information on next year's colley expect to bee how they got


## Glamour, August 1987



## Representation of Girls in a STEM Book

- Children's book (ages 9-11) that teaches a little about Electrical Engineering (error correction coding) through fiction
- My attempt at social engineering: Both lead characters = girls
- Publisher's idea of social reality: Don't even think about it

Delancey $\rightarrow$ Daniel



## Wikipedia

- $5^{\text {th }}$ most popular web site
- 5.5 million articles in English
- 1.5 million are biographies
- 17\% are about women
- https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gender_bias_on_Wikipedia
- Lots of grammatical mistakes in this article... someone should edit it!
- Why so few biographies about women?
- Only 8-16\% of Wikipedia editors are female


## Editors' contributions to biographies



| $\square$ Biographies of women |
| :--- |
| Biographies of men |

69

## Supply

Or
Demand?

Marit Hinnosaar, "Gender Inequality in New Media: Evidence from Wikipedia,"
Social Science Research Network, April 2019

## Average \# of views per day, by gender



Men's bios: 23\% have zero views/day
Women's: 13\% have zero

Marit Hinnosaar, "Gender Inequality in New Media: Evidence from Wikipedia,"
Social Science Research Network, April 2019

## Last Thoughts

- The "Gender of Science" is a cultural construct that varies across - time • nations •socioeconomic levels
- Women in STEM get less recognition than men in many ways:
- Awards
- Invited speakers, session chairs
- Introductions to technical talks
- Wikipedia
- Many things individuals can do to help
- Thank you for being here to edit Wikipedia!

